

Faculty and Administrative Evaluation System

Faculty

- **UNIT I** – Annual Report for Teaching Faculty Member
- **UNIT II** – Personal Recommendations for Faculty
There is no form for Unit II.
- **UNIT III** – Evaluation of the Teaching Faculty Member by the Department Chair
- **UNIT IV** – Student Evaluation of the Learning Process at Elon University
Forms available in 120 Alamance
- **UNIT IV** – Student Evaluation of the Learning Process for Faculty Teaching
Graduate Courses
Forms available in 120 Alamance
- **UNIT V** – Evaluation of Teaching Faculty Member by the Dean

Administrative

- Evaluation of Department Chair
- Evaluation of Dean
- Evaluation of Provost/Vice President for Academic Affairs

UNIT I - ANNUAL REPORT FOR TEACHING FACULTY MEMBER

Faculty Member _____

Rank _____ Date _____

The teaching faculty member is expected to maintain an updated resume in the office of academic affairs, including an annual self-evaluation, commenting on the criteria listed below as appropriate. Refer to II-9 for illustrative examples of activities within each of the following categories.

I. The faculty member summarizes the activities and accomplishments of the prior calendar year, including the previous summer months. Each criterion for evaluation should be addressed and lettered as presented below.

- First Level Criterion - Teaching
- Second Level Criteria – Contributions to the Life of the University and Professional Activity

II. The faculty member presents a professional activity/development plan. Plans should focus on the upcoming calendar year, and relate to a long-range professional development plan.

III. Miscellaneous

Send copies to the Department Chair and Dean

(7/04)

**UNIT III - EVALUATION OF TEACHING FACULTY MEMBER
BY THE DEPARTMENT CHAIR**

Name of Faculty Member Being Evaluated _____

Rank _____ Date _____

Name of Department Chair _____

The department chair is expected to prepare an annual evaluation of each departmental teaching faculty member, commenting on the criteria listed below as appropriate. Refer to II, 9 for illustrative examples of activities within each of the following categories.

I. Criteria for Evaluation

A. First Level Criterion - Teaching

B. Second Level Criteria - Contributions to the Life of the University and Professional Activity

II. Progress on Professional Development Plan**III. Miscellaneous Comments**_____
Department Chair's Signature_____
Faculty Member's Signature_____
Reviewed by Dean

c: Faculty Member, Dean

(7/04)

Unit IV – Student Evaluation (Front Page)

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS	INSTRUCTOR ID NUMBER																																								
USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td></tr> <tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td></tr> <tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td></tr> <tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td></tr> </table>	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9																																
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9																																
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9																																
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9																																
RIGHT MARK ●	WRONG MARKS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																																								

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: _____

COURSE NUMBER AND SECTION: _____

TODAY'S DATE: _____

**STUDENT EVALUATION OF THE LEARNING
PROCESS AT ELON UNIVERSITY**

INSTRUCTIONS: Darken only one response for each item. Leave the item blank and proceed to the next if you feel that the statement is not applicable or if you are not able to give a knowledgeable response. Please give each item careful and thoughtful consideration. Your opinions are anonymous and you should feel free to answer honestly.

Please indicate the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following statements using this scale:
1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Undecided 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree

Course Organization and Goals

1. The instructor has clear student learning objectives for the course.
(Strongly Disagree) ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ (Strongly Agree)
2. The instructor's class is well prepared and well organized.
(Strongly Disagree) ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ (Strongly Agree)

Communication

3. The instructor communicates course material clearly.
(Strongly Disagree) ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ (Strongly Agree)
4. The instructor displays interest in the subject.
(Strongly Disagree) ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ (Strongly Agree)
5. The instructor summarizes or emphasizes important points in class.
(Strongly Disagree) ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ (Strongly Agree)

Faculty/Student Information

6. The instructor stimulates my thinking about the subject.
(Strongly Disagree) ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ (Strongly Agree)
7. The instructor provides opportunities for student contact out of class.
(Strongly Disagree) ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ (Strongly Agree)
8. The instructor expresses concern about student progress in the course.
(Strongly Disagree) ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ (Strongly Agree)

Assignments, Exams, and Grading

9. The instructor provides useful feedback on exams and assignments.
(Strongly Disagree) ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ (Strongly Agree)
10. The instructor clearly indicates how my work will be evaluated.
(Strongly Disagree) ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ (Strongly Agree)

The Student

11. My class standing at Elon is
 - ① Freshman
 - ② Sophomore
 - ③ Junior
 - ④ Senior
 - ⑤ Other
12. The relationship of this course to my major is
 - ① In major field
 - ② Required for major
 - ③ Required for minor
 - ④ General Studies
 - ⑤ Elective Course
13. My cumulative grade point average is
 - ① 4.0-3.5
 - ② 3.4-3.0
 - ③ 2.9-2.5
 - ④ 2.4-2.0
 - ⑤ Under 2.0
14. My current grade in this course is
 - ① A
 - ② B
 - ③ C
 - ④ D
 - ⑤ F
15. I have put a lot of effort into this course compared to other courses
 - ① Strongly disagree
 - ② Disagree
 - ③ Undecided
 - ④ Agree
 - ⑤ Strongly Agree

**Please continue on
the reverse side.**

**UNIT V - EVALUATION OF TEACHING FACULTY MEMBER
BY THE DEAN**

Name of Faculty Member Being Evaluated _____

Rank _____ Date _____

Department _____

The narrative evaluation statements are based on all information available to the dean, including when possible the major performance interview with the teaching faculty member. Refer to II-9 for illustrative examples of activities within each of the following categories.

I. Criteria for Evaluation

A. First Level Criterion - Teaching

B. Second Level Criterion - Contributions to the Life of the University and Professional Activity

II. Progress on Professional Development Plan**III. Miscellaneous Comments**_____
Faculty Member's Signature_____
Date_____
Dean's Signature_____
Date

(7/04)

Evaluation of Department Chair

To be completed by each member of the department and submitted directly to the dean.

Evaluations for chairs are normally conducted during late April or early May of each academic year. Evaluation forms are forwarded to faculty members and are to be returned by June 1 as follows:

Chair's Evaluations – returned to dean

Responses are reviewed by the dean and are included as part of the annual review. The forms are returned to the individual being evaluated.

Name of Department Chair Being Evaluated _____
Department _____ Date _____

To what degree is each item below descriptive of this administrator?

Highest	Average		Lowest		Do Not Know	Not Applicable
5	4	3	2	1	X	X

- _____ Provides initiative for the improvement of department curriculum.
- _____ Provides or encourages departmental extracurricular activities for student majors.
- _____ Encourages faculty development through workshops, research, professional meetings, or completion of advanced degree.
- _____ Gives personal support through constructive individual evaluation conferences or through other means.
- _____ Includes department members in decisions about use of department budget.
- _____ Includes department members in decisions about curriculum changes and/or waivers.
- _____ Effectively represents the department interests to the administration.
- _____ Effectively represents the faculty member to the administration.
- _____ Assigns duties equitably and appropriately.
- _____ Communicates effectively with department members.
- _____ Performs position-related duties with integrity, credibility, and fairness.

Department Meetings: Check those choices that apply.

1. Number of meetings: ___Adequate ___Too frequent ___Too infrequent
2. Effectiveness of Meetings: ___Highly effective ___Adequate ___Ineffective

Please make any comment that you feel would be helpful.

Administered annually (March 1) by the Office of Institutional Research
(6/08)

Evaluation of Dean

To be completed by each member of the faculty.

Evaluations for deans are normally conducted during late April or early May of each academic year. Evaluation forms are forwarded to faculty members and are to be returned by June 1:

(Name of Dean being Evaluated) – return to Provost (2200 CB)

These responses are reviewed by the provost and are included as part of the annual review. The forms are returned to the individual being evaluated.

Faculty Status of Person Completing this Form:

- Full-Time Teaching Faculty
- Part-Time Teaching Faculty
- Admin. Staff with Faculty Rank
- Academic Support Staff with Faculty Rank
- Other

To what degree is each item below descriptive of this administrator?

Highest		Average		Lowest	Do Not Know	Not Applicable
5	4	3	2	1	X	X

- _____ Provides positive leadership in conceiving and executing academic programs.
- _____ Follows the letter and intent of *Faculty Handbook* guidelines.
- _____ Handles routine duties effectively.
- _____ Delegates responsibility when appropriate.
- _____ Encourages faculty development through scholarly activities and research.
- _____ Communicates with and keeps the faculty informed.
- _____ Is approachable, accessible, considerate, and courteous.
- _____ Effectively mediates and arbitrates conflict.
- _____ Performs position-related duties with integrity, credibility and fairness.

Please comment on particular strengths or weakness related to the areas listed above. Feel free to make any additional comments that are appropriate.

Administered annually (March 1) by the Office of Institutional Research

(6/08)

Evaluation of Provost

To be completed by each member of the faculty.

Evaluations for the provost are normally conducted during late April or early May of each academic year. Evaluation forms are forwarded to faculty members and are to be returned by June 1 as follows:

- Provost's Evaluation – returned to President

These responses are reviewed by the president and are included as part of the annual review. The forms are returned to the individual being evaluated.

Faculty Status of Person Completing this Form:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Full-Time Teaching Faculty | <input type="checkbox"/> Part-Time Teaching Faculty |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Admin. Staff with Faculty Rank | <input type="checkbox"/> Academic Support Staff with Faculty Rank |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | |

To what degree is each item below descriptive of this administrator?

Highest		Average		Lowest	Do Not Know	Not Applicable
5	4	3	2	1	X	X

- _____ Provides positive leadership in conceiving and executing academic programs.
- _____ Follows the letter and intent of *Faculty Handbook* guidelines.
- _____ Handles routine duties effectively.
- _____ Delegates responsibility when appropriate.
- _____ Encourages faculty development through scholarly activities and research.
- _____ Communicates with and keeps the faculty informed.
- _____ Is approachable, accessible, considerate, and courteous.
- _____ Effectively mediates and arbitrates conflict.
- _____ Performs position-related duties with integrity, credibility and fairness.

Please comment on particular strengths or weakness related to the areas listed above. Feel free to make any additional comments that are appropriate.

Administered annually (March 1) by the Office of Institutional Research

(6/08)